

5

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/961,357	CORONEL ET AL.
	Examiner Jenna-Leigh Befumo	Art Unit 1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jenna-Leigh Befumo (3) _____
 (2) Arir M. Amado (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 September 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: i and 9

Identification of prior art discussed: Gilpatrick & Jackson


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendments to overcome 112 2nd rejections which would overcome rejections. Proposed Amendment to claim 9 reciting structure of raised portions as part of film layer would overcome current prior art. Further amendments to the backing layer citing specific backing materials might overcome other references.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required